Building Self-Healing Alloy Architecture for Stable Sodium-Ion Battery Anodes: A Case Study of Tin Anode Materials
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ABSTRACT: The rational design of anode materials is a challenge in developing sodium ion batteries. Alloy anodes provide high gravimetric and volumetric capacities but suffer the short cycle life as a result of the continuous and accumulated pulverization, resulting from a large volume change during the cycling process. Herein, using pure Sn, an irreversible conversion reaction combined with an alloy reaction (SnO), and a reversible conversion reaction combined with an alloy reaction (Sn4P3) as samples, we demonstrate that the pulverization and aggregation of the alloy anode can be partially recovered and the accumulation of pulverization and aggregation during charge/discharge cycles can be terminated using a reversible conversion reaction combined with an alloy reaction. The cycling stability of three Sn-based anodes increases in order of Sn4P3 > SnO > Sn. The enhancement in Sn4P3 can be attributed to a reversible reaction of Sn4P3 + 9Na → 4Sn + 3Na3P, which repairs the cracks, damage, and aggregation of Sn particles that occurred in the alloy process of 4Sn + 15Na → Na15Sn4 during cycling and, hence, terminates the pulverization. The repair mechanism looks like the self-healing feature in nature, where the damage can be healed by itself. Therefore, the suggested mechanism can be called self-healing, while the repaired anode can be termed as the self-healing anode. The use of self-healing strategies to build an electrode architecture is new and highly desirable because it can increase the cycle life and provide a general approach toward stable electrode materials.
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INTRODUCTION

As a result of the low cost, abundance, and wide distribution of sodium resources, sodium-ion batteries (SIBs) have been attracting wide attention as a possible alternative to lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), especially for the application in large-scale energy storage. More importantly, sodium has chemical properties similar to those of lithium, which makes it hopeful that the knowledge of lithium-ion technologies can be transformed to design SIB electrodes and, hence, enables the quick development of SIBs. However, challenges still remain because there are some fundamental differences between NIBs and LIBs. For example, the ionic radius of Na+ is larger than Li+ (1.02 versus 0.76 Å). The larger Na+ ions will lead to slower ion transport, more sluggish reaction kinetics, and larger volume change upon Na+/Na insertion/extraction, which usually result in limited rate capability and Na-storing reversibility.

Development of high capacity and long cycle life of anode materials is critical for the success of SIBs. One of the widely studied anodes for NIBs is carbonaceous anodes. Carbon materials, such as hard carbon, can form binary alloys with Na, such as Na4Sn (847 mAh/g), Na3Sb (660 mAh/g), Na3Bi (385 mAh/g), Na15Pb4 (485 mAh/g), and Na3P (2560 mAh/g), respectively. Clearly, the Na-alloy anodes usually have higher gravimetric capacities than the carbonaceous anodes and could significantly improve the overall cell capacity. However, such an alloying reaction also has a large accompanied volume expansion. The associated volume...
expansion for forming Na<sub>15</sub>Sn<sub>4</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>Sb, Na<sub>3</sub>Bi, Na<sub>4</sub>Pb, and Na<sub>3</sub>P is 410, 290, 250, 365, and 490%, respectively. This enormous volume expansion will lead to a continuous and accumulated pulverization, resulting in fast capacity decay. Another issue for alloy anodes (i.e., Sn-based alloys) is the aggregation of the metal nanoparticles (i.e., Sn), further accelerating the pulverization and capacity decays.

To solve the issue of the pulverization and aggregation of the alloy particles during sodiation/desodiation cycling, a number of attempts have been developed to buffer the volume change of the alloy anodes during Na insertion and extraction reactions. One method is to use an irreversible conversion reaction to in situ form an inactive matrix before the alloy reaction to alleviate the pulverization and aggregation of the alloy reaction. For example, Sn oxides showed much longer cycling life than pure Sn because the inactive sodium oxide matrix formed during the initial irreversible conversion reaction (SnO + 2Na → Sn + Na<sub>2</sub>O) can accommodate the volume change of formed nano-Sn and reduce the nano-Sn aggregation in the followed alloy reaction (4Sn + 15Na → Na<sub>15</sub>Sn<sub>4</sub>). However, the irreversible conversion reaction reduces the first cycle Coulombic efficiency, and the sodium oxide matrix only alleviates the crack and aggregation of nano-Sn but does not recover the crack and prevent the aggregation of nano-Sn.

More recently, the Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>/C anode experiencing conversion and alloy reactions has been found to exhibit a high capacity of 850 mAh/g, low first-cycle irreversible capacity of 250 mAh/g, and 86% capacity retention after 150 cycles. The low irreversible capacity in the first charge/discharge cycle suggests that the conversion is highly reversible. Particularly, the cyclic stability of the Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>/C composite anode is significantly enhanced in comparison to the individual Sn/C or P/C, and SnO anodes demonstrate that the reversible conversion reaction may be responsible for the long cycle life of Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>/C anodes. It seems that the pulverization and aggregation of Sn in the Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>/C anode during the alloy reaction is partially repaired by the reversible conversion reaction during the sodiation/desodiation cyclings, and hence, the cyclability is promoted greatly.

To validate the recovery mechanism underlying the Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> anode, a series Sn-based compounds, such as Sn/multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs), SnO/MWCNTs, and Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>/MWCNTs materials, have been prepared and compared as NIB anodes. Adding MWCNTs is to minimize the influence of electronic conductivity among these Sn-based anodes. We have shown that the electrochemical performance of Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>/MWCNTs is superior to that of SnO/MWCNTs and Sn/MWCNTs. Furthermore, a self-healing mechanism is proposed, which provides a novel approach to modify the alloy anode and other electrodes with a large volume change during charging/discharging.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The Sn-based MWCNTs composites were prepared by ball milling. Figure 1 shows the XRD pattern of the as-milled Sn/MWCNTs, SnO/MWCNTs, and Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>/MWCNTs. The Sn-based MWCNTs, SnO/MWCNTs, and Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>/MWCNTs materials. Clearly, the pattern shows pure Sn, SnO, and Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> crystalline phases in the case of Sn/MWCNTs, SnO/MWCNTs, and Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>/MWCNTs, respectively. The results show that the mechanical milling only produces a physical mixing. However, no peaks related to MWCNTs were observed, which is likely due to the low concentration as well as the formation of a nanocrystalline/disordered structure during the ball milling.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Synthesis.** The raw chemicals Sn (97%) and SnO (97%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> (99.5%) was obtained from Alfa-Aesar; and MWCNTs (10–30 nm in diameter and 1–2 μm in length) were purchased from Nanostructured & Amorphous Materials, Inc. All of the materials were used as received. Sn, SnO, and Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub> were milled with the addition of 20 wt % MWCNTs at 400 rpm for 10 h using a Retch PM100 planetary.

**Material Characterization.** The crystal structure of the materials was characterized using powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) on a D8 Advanced with LynxEye and SoIIX (Bruker AXS, Madison, WI) using a Cu Kα radiation source. The morphology of the materials was characterized using both Hitachi SU-70 analytical ultrahigh-resolution scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and JEOL 2100F LaB<sub>6</sub> emission transmission electron microscopy (TEM) at the NanoCenter at the University of Maryland. The after-cycled electrode was rinsed with dimethyl carbonate (DMC) before taking the images.

**Electrochemical Measurements.** The electrodes were prepared by the slurry-coating method. The active materials (Sn/MWCNTs, SnO/MWCNTs, and Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>/MWCNTs) were mixed with carbon black and sodium alginate binder to form slurry at the weight ratio of 80:10:10. Then, the obtained slurry was cast on copper foil and dried in a vacuum oven at 100°C overnight. The loading amount of active material was ~0.5 mg cm<sup>−2</sup>. Coin cells, consisting of a working electrode, a sodium metal counter electrode, Celgard 3501 separator, and 1.0 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> in fluoroethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate (FEC/DMC, 1:1, v/v) co-solvent liquid electrolyte, were assembled in an argon-filled glovebox for electrochemical tests. Galvanostatic charge/discharge tests were performed on an Arbin battery test station (BT2000, Arbin Instruments, College Station, TX). The cells were cycled between 0.01 and 1.5 V under different current densities. All of the current densities used in this study were calculated on the basis of the Sn or Sn compound mass, such as Sn, SnO, or Sn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>3</sub>. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) testing under a scan rate of 0.1 mV/s was recorded using the Gamry Potentiostats (Gamry, Warminster, PA).
that the presence of MWCNTs facilitates the ball mill efficiency and prevents the particle agglomeration. However, the MWCNTs are not observed clearly in these three samples, which is possible due to the fact that the MWCNTs may be damaged during ball milling. To identify the presence of MWCNTs, the high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) images were taken for these three samples, as shown in panels d–f of Figure 2. Clearly, the lattice of MWCNTs can be observed and connected very well with the bulk materials. It further indicates that the MWCNTs were mixed very well during ball milling.

The electrochemical performance of the as-milled Sn/MWCNTs, SnO/MWCNTs, and Sn$_4$P$_3$/MWCNTs materials during sodiation/desodiation was investigated using coin cells in electrolytes of 1 M NaClO$_4$ in a mixture of FEC/DMC at 1:1 (v/v).

Figure 3 shows the cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of the as-milled Sn/MWCNTs, SnO/MWCNTs, and Sn$_4$P$_3$/MWCNTs materials in the first three cycles at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s$^{-1}$ between 0.01 and 1.5 V. For the Sn/MWCNTs, the large reduction peak from 0.8 to 0.01 V could be attributed to the Na–Sn alloying reactions, with some contribution from the irreversible decomposition of the electrolyte. During sodiation, a series of Na–Sn alloys, such as NaSn$_5$, NaSn, Na$_2$Sn$_4$, and Na$_{13}$Sn$_{24}$, will be generated. In the reversed anodic scans, several oxidative peaks with the most distinct peak at 0.7 V are attributed to the dealloying reactions of Na–Sn compounds. For the SnO/MWCNTs, in the first cathodic scan, the peak at 1.16 V is associated with the irreversible decomposition of the electrolyte, while peaks ranging from 0.9 to 0.01 V are attributed to the irreversible conversion reaction of SnO with Na$^+$ to form Sn and Na$_2$O (reaction 1) and the formation of Na$_x$Sn alloys in the Na$_2$O matrix (reaction 2). The large current difference between the first and following solidation is due to the irreversible conversion reaction of SnO (reaction 1).

$$\text{SnO} + 2\text{Na}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Sn} + \text{Na}_2\text{O}$$

(1)

$$4\text{Sn} + 15\text{Na}^+ + 15\text{e}^- \leftrightarrow \text{Na}_{13}\text{Sn}_4$$

(2)

In the anodic scan, a broad oxidation peak around 0.9 V is attributed to desodiation from Na$_{13}$Sn$_4$. The more positive desodiation potential of SnO compared to Sn is due to the low conductivity of the Na$_2$O matrix. In the case of Sn$_4$P$_3$/MWCNTs, a large reduction peak at 0.01 V and shoulder at 0.5 V are observed in the first cathodic scan and the shoulder current at 0.5 V increases to a peak in the following cathodic scans. In the first and subsequent anodic scans, two oxidative peaks at 0.46 and 0.65 V are observed, indicating two-step redox reactions. The CV results are in agreement with a previous report on Sn$_4$P$_3$-based materials$^{35-37}$ and further confirmed the two-step sodiation reactions of Sn$_4$P$_3$. During sodiation, Sn$_4$P$_3$ first experiences a conversion reaction.

Figure 2. SEM images of (a) Sn/MWCNTs, (b) SnO/MWCNTs, and (c) Sn$_4$P$_3$/MWCNTs and HRTEM images for (d) Sn/MWCNTs, (e) SnO/MWCNTs, and (f) Sn$_4$P$_3$/MWCNTs.
(reaction 3) to form Sn and Na3P and then a alloy reaction (reaction 4) to form Na3P and Na15Sn4.

\[
\text{Sn}_4\text{P}_3 + 9\text{Na}^+ + 9\text{e}^- \leftrightarrow 4\text{Sn} + 3\text{Na}_3\text{P} \quad (3)
\]

\[
4\text{Sn} + 15\text{Na}^+ + 15\text{e}^- \leftrightarrow \text{Na}_{15}\text{Sn}_4 \quad (4)
\]

Although both SnO and Sn4P3 experience the conversion reaction, the conversion reaction (reaction 1) of SnO is irreversible in the potential range between 0.01 and 1.5 V, while the conversion reaction (reaction 3) of Sn4P3 is reversible.

The charge/discharge profiles of the as-milled Sn/MWCNTs, SnO/MWCNTs, and Sn4P3/MWCNTs materials in the initial three cycles at a current of 100 mA/g are shown in Figure 4. The capacity is calculated on the basis of the total weight of Sn-based materials and MWCNTs. For Sn/MWCNTs, several discharge and charge plateaus are observed, which reflects the stepwise Na–Sn alloy phase transition process. The first discharge capacity is 338 mAh/g, while the first charge only recovers a reversible capacity of 173 mAh/g. The delivered capacity increases in the following cycling, indicating that an activation process is required. Meanwhile, the initial Coulombic efficiency is only 51%. The low capacity is due to the pulverization and aggregation of Sn. For the SnO/MWCNTs, the first discharge curve displays the solid-
electrolyte interphase (SEI) formation feature at 1 V and the conversion reaction plateau at 0.25 V, which are agreeing well with the CV results. The first discharge capacity is 471 mAh/g, while the first charge only recovers a reversible capacity of 227 mAh/g. The initial Coulombic efficiency is only 48%, which is lower than Sn/MWCNTs as a result of the irreversible conversion reaction of SnO. However, the SnO/MWCNTs deliver stable capacities at the following cycles because the Na2O matrix reduces the aggregation of Sn and alleviates the stress/strain of NaSn. In the case of Sn4P3/MWCNTs, the charge/discharge profiles show two potential plateaus, corresponding to the conversion (reaction 3) and alloy (reaction 4) reactions, respectively. Meanwhile, the Sn4P3 shows high discharge/charge capacities of 680/487 mAh/g. The initial Coulombic efficiency is 71.6%, which is higher than that of Sn/MWCNTs and SnO/MWCNTs materials.

The cyclic performance of the as-milled Sn/MWCNTs, SnO/MWCNTs, and Sn4P3/MWCNTs materials at 100 mA/g between 0.01 and 1.5 V versus Na+/Na is compared in Figure 5a. Among them, the Sn4P3/MWCNTs electrode exhibits the best cycling performance. The initial and second discharge capacities of the Sn4P3/MWCNTs electrode are 678 and 501 mAh/g, respectively. A total of 26% of irreversible capacity is ascribed to the formation of SEI, which consumes a certain percentage of sodium. After initial conditioning cycles, the capacity decay was very slow and a capacity of 452 mAh/g is maintained after 120 charge/discharge cycles, at only 0.086% decay per cycle compared to the second sodiation capacity. The Sn/MWCNTs electrode experiences an activation process in the first 10 cycles. The capacity is increased during the following cycles, and a capacity of 362 mAh/g is reached at the 11th cycle. However, after that, the capacity decays fast and only a capacity of 83 mAh/g is maintained after 120 discharge/charge cycles. For the SnO/MWCNTs electrode, the initial and second discharge capacities are 464 and 223 mAh/g, respectively. The capacity continuously decreases with charge/discharge cycles. Only a capacity of 72 mAh/g is left after 120 discharge/charge cycles. Obviously, the SnO/MWCNTs electrode is more stable than that of Sn/MWCNTs but worse than the Sn4P3/MWCNTs electrode. The Sn4P3/MWCNTs shows both the highest capacity and longest cycling stability in all three Sn-based compounds.

The cycling robustness of Sn4P3/MWCNTs is also tested at a lower current density because more Na ions will be inserted into Sn4P3 at a low current; thus, the volume change of Sn4P3/MWCNTs in charge/discharge cycles becomes larger. Therefore, the capacity decay at a low current may become fast. Hence, the cycling performance of Sn4P3/MWCNTs under a low current density is also examined. Figure 5b shows the cycling performance of the Sn4P3/MWCNTs and SnO/MWCNTs electrodes at a low current density of 50 mA/g. SnO/MWCNTs electrodes at a low current show a faster capacity decay than that at a high current (Figure 5a), especially after 50 cycles, although the initial stable capacity (300 mAh/g) is higher than that (200 mAh/g) in a high current. In contrast, the cycling stability of Sn4P3/MWCNTs at a low current is even much better than that in a high current. The improved cycling stability of Sn4P3/MWCNTs at a low current can be attributed to enhanced self-healing effects as a result of a more complete reversible conversion reaction.

Not only the stable cycling performance but also the rate capability is very important for the electrodes toward practical applications. The Sn4P3/MWCNTs electrode also displayed excellent rate capability. As shown in Figure 4, the Sn4P3/MWCNTs electrode can deliver total reversible capacities of 520, 500, 464, 397, and 259 mAh/g at the current densities of 100, 200, 300, 500, and 1000 mA/g, respectively. Importantly, when the current density returns to 100 mA/g, the discharge capacity can recover to 512 mAh/g.
On the basis of the above results, it is clearly shown that the electrochemical performance of the Sn₄P₃/MWCNTs electrode is best among the investigated Sn-based electrodes. The possible reason is that the reversible conversion reaction healed the pulverization and crack induced by the alloy reaction. If so, the Sn₄P₃/MWCNTs electrode should maintain the original morphology. Therefore, the morphology after long-term cycling is examined by the post-cycling SEM and energy-dispersive X-ray (EDS) analysis. Figure 6 shows the SEM and EDS mapping images of the Sn₄P₃/MWCNTs after 120 charge/discharge cycles between 0.01 and 1.5 V at 100 mA/g. For comparison, the SEM image of a fresh Sn₄P₃/MWCNTs electrode was also included. Clearly, the morphology is well-maintained after the cycling test, suggesting the high structural stability and the architectural merit. This is further confirmed by the uniform distribution of Sn, P, and C in the Sn₄P₃/MWCNTs particles, as shown in the EDS mapping images. The results indicate that the volume expansion of Sn and P in the Sn₄P₃/MWCNTs electrode during charge/discharge could be partially self-healed (recovered). In contrast, for the case of Sn/MWCNTs (panels d and e of Figure 6) and SnO/MWCNTs (panels f and g of Figure 6), the morphology is changed after cycling and the cracking and pulverization are observed in the electrodes. The continuous and accumulated pulverization could lead to the loss of electrical contact and excessive SEI growth and, hence, suffers from fast capacity decay and short cycle life, as shown in Figure 5.

The reversibility of the conversion reaction is critical for self-healing of the damage from the alloy process. The reversibility of the conversion reaction was examined by the phase changes upon sodiation/desodiation using ex situ XRD, as shown in Figure 7. Figure 7a shows the ex situ XRD of the Sn₄P₃/MWCNTs electrode upon discharge/charge. It can be seen that, upon sodiation to 0.6 V, the Sn₄P₃ electrode shows a clear XRD pattern of the Sn₄P₃ lattice. After sodiated to 0.01 V, the Sn₄P₃ signals disappeared and the reflections of Sn, Na₁₅Sn₄, and Na₃P are observed. This observation agrees well with the previous report for the conversion and alloying reactions of Sn₄P₃ to Na₃P and Na₃Sn upon sodiation. The Sn signals still exist, indicating that part of Sn cannot accomplish the Na–alloy reaction at the end of the sodiation process. At reversed desodiation to 0.6 and 1.5 V, the XRD signals of the Sn, Na₁₅Sn₄, and Na₃P phases disappeared. It indicates that new materials should be regenerated, but no XRD peaks were
detected. However, Kim et al. observed the XRD peaks of Sn4P3 during desodiation at 1.5 V in their case.37 In our case, this is presumably because the final reaction products may be too small to be detected by XRD. To increase the grain size of Sn4P3, we reduced the charging and discharging rate to 20 mA/g and kept it at 1.5 V for 2 days to allow for the Sn4P3 phase to grow large enough to be easily detected by XRD. As shown in Figure 7b, several distinct peaks are detected in the Sn4P3/MWCNTs sample after full desodiation, which can be assigned to the Sn4P3 phase. Therefore, it concludes that the sodiation/desodiation of Sn4P3 via conversion and alloying reactions is reversible. For comparison, the full desodiation of the SnO/MWCNTs electrode at 1.5 V for 2 days is also investigated. As shown in Figure 7b, the reflection of Sn is clearly observed but no SnO phase is detected. It indicates that the conversion reaction of SnO is irreversible at 1.5 V. In contrast, the partially reversible formation of SnO upon sodiation/desodiation was only confirmed at 3 V.40

The self-healing mechanism of Sn4P3 during sodiation/desodiation cycles is schematically shown in Figure 8. The morphology and structure changes of the Sn-based electrodes (Sn, SnO, and Sn4P3) before and after cycling are compared in Figure 8a as well as shown in Figure 6. For Sn anodes, the stress generated by the huge volumetric changes during the sodiation/desodiation of Sn causes pulverization, cracks, and aggregation in the Sn/MWCNTs electrode, resulting in the loss of electrical contact and the subsequent loss of capacity, as evidenced by fast capacity decays with only a capacity of 83 mAh/g at 120 cycles (panels d and e of Figure 6). For SnO, the in situ formed small Sn particles (reaction 1) and Na2O matrix (reaction 2) reduce the cracking, help in preventing the aggregation of the Sn particles, and likely improve the overall mechanical stability of the electrode, leading to retarded cracking and crumbling during cycling, thus improving the cycling (panels f and g of Figure 6). In contrast, the conversion reaction of Sn4P3 (reaction 3) in the Sn4P3/MWCNTs electrode is reversible (Figure 7b), and the Sn4P3 structure is turned back after each cycling. Therefore, the pulverization of Sn of the Sn4P3/MWCNTs electrode is partially repaired by the reversible conversion reaction process during the sodiation/desodiation cycles (panels a–c of Figure 6). The self-healing effect of the reversible conversion reaction for alloying anodes is schematically illustrated in Figure 8b. For the Sn4P3/MWCNTs electrode, a conversion reaction undergoes first during sodiation, where the Sn4P3 breaks into small Sn particles and Na3P matrix. Upon further sodiation, Na inserts into Sn to form Na15Sn4 through the alloying reaction, which normally results in pulverization. During the desodiation, Na15Sn4 dealloys first to form Sn and then Sn reacts with Na3P to convert back to Sn4P3. Thus, the cracks, damage, and aggregation of Sn induced in the (de)alloying process (reaction 4) is healed by this reversible conversion reaction (reaction 3).
Hence, the poor cycle stability of the alloy reaction is improved by the use of the reversible conversion reaction.

The positive effect of the reversible conversion reaction on the alloying anodes can be called self-healing. Because is known that self-healing is widely present in biological systems, such as human skin, where the damage can be repaired by itself. Recently, the self-healing feature is also applied in rechargeable batteries to increase the cycle life. For example, Wang et al. reported that the poor cycle life of silicon anodes is overcome by coating a self-healing polymer, which repairs the cracks and damages spontaneously during cycling. As a difference from Wang et al. using a self-healing polymer binder, we directly self-heal the active alloy. For the Sn/MWCNTs, only the alloy reaction takes place during cycling. However, in the SnP3/MWCNTs, two reversible reactions, including conversion and alloy reactions, happen during cycling. Also, the additional reversible conversion reaction may contribute to a higher capacity. The self-healing anode is new and highly desirable because it increases the cycle life and sheds light to develop alloy anodes for high-performance SiBs and rechargeable batteries.

■ CONCLUSION

In summary, by investigating a series of Sn-based anodes (Sn/MWCNTs, SnO/MWCNTs, and SnP3/MWCNTs), a self-healing mechanism is highlighted in improving the performance of Na–alloy anodes. By introduction of a reversible conversion reaction, not only is the capacity increased but also the continuous pulverization of Sn in the alloy reaction during cycling is healed. Specifically, the most stable performance in the SnP3/MWCNTs among the investigated Sn anodes can be attributed to a reversible reaction of SnP3 + 9Na ↔ 4Sn + 3Na2P, which repairs the cracks, damage, and aggregation of Sn in the alloy reaction during cycling. This new concept of self-healing can be easily extended to other alloy-type anode materials for overcoming the pulverization resulting from the large volume changes during cycling and may also be useful for other electrode materials that experience the same volume change issue.
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